



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/879,170 06/13/2001		Eun Cheol Lee	YHK-0066	3664	
34610	7590 10/18/2005		EXAMINER		
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP P.O. BOX 221200			WU, XIAO MIN		
CHANTILLY, VA 20153			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2674		

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/879,170	LEE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
XIAO M. WU	2674		

	Examiner	Art Unit					
	XIAO M. WU	2674					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 28 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two mon	the of the date				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS			<i>u j.</i>				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered I	pecause				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	ensideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jeoted cidinis.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	····p···a····	(1 102 02 1).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ w	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>18</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>5,11 and 1</u> 2.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-10,13,14 and 19-24.</u>							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> /it or other evidence i	<u>ot</u> be entered s necessary				
	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	a data of filing a brief	will not be				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
42 Othor							
li Wr							
XIAO M. WU							
		Primary Examiner					

Art Unit: 2674

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the broadly claimed structures are still met by the prior art reference to Kanazawa, see previous office action.